

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/088,747	03/22/2002	Eric C. Edwards	17723-US-NP	8800
23553 MARKS & C	7590 05/16/2007 LERK		EXAM	INER
P.O. BOX 957			PIZIALI, JEFFREY J	
STATION B			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
OTTAWA, O	N KIP 5S7			TATER NOMBER
CANADA			2629	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/16/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application No. Applicant(s) Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (37 CFR 1 121) EDWARDS, ERIC C. 10/088,747 Examiner

Amendment (37 Of N 1.121)			
	Jeff Piziali	2629	<u> </u>
The MAILING DATE of this communication app		•	
The amendment document filed on <u>05 March 2007</u> is correquirements of 37 CFR 1.121 or 1.4. In order for the amitem(s) is required.	nsidered non-compliant because nendment document to be complia	it has failed to me ant, correction of	et the the following
THE FOLLOWING MARKED (X) ITEM(S) CAUSE THE A 1. Amendments to the specification: A. Amended paragraph(s) do not include B. New paragraph(s) should not be under C. Other	markings.	BE NON-COMPL	ANT:
2. Abstract:A. Not presented on a separate sheet. 37B. Other	CFR 1.72.		
 3. Amendments to the drawings: A. The drawings are not properly identifie "Annotated Sheet" as required by 37 C B. The practice of submitting proposed dr showing amended figures, without ma C. Other 	CFR 1.121(d). rawing correction has been elimin	ated. Replaceme	ent drawings
 4. Amendments to the claims: A. A complete listing of all of the claims is B. The listing of claims does not include t C. Each claim has not been provided with of each claim cannot be identified. No number by using one of the following s (Previously presented), (New), (Not er D. The claims of this amendment paper h E. Other: 	he text of all pending claims (inclinate the proper status identifier, and ote: the status of every claim must status identifiers: (Original), (Currottered), (Withdrawn) and (Withdrawn)	as such, the indivist be indicated aft ently amended), (awn-currently ame	vidual status er its claim (Canceled), ended).
5. Other (e.g., the amendment is unsigned or no See Continuation Sheet	ot signed in accordance with 37 (CFR 1.4):	
For further explanation of the amendment format require	ed by 37 CFR 1.121, see MPEP §	714.	
TIME PERIODS FOR FILING A REPLY TO THIS NOTIC	DE∙		
Applicant is given no new time period if the non-co filed after allowance. If applicant wishes to resubmit entire corrected amendment must be resubmitted.	mpliant amendment is an after-fir the non-compliant after-final am	nal amendment or endment with cor	an amendment rections, the
2. Applicant is given one month , or thirty (30) days, where correction, if the non-compliant amendment is one of (including a submission for a request for continued amendment filed within a suspension period under 3 Quayle action. If any of above boxes 1, to 4, are chested non-compliant amendment in compliance with 37 CF	of the following: a preliminary ame examination (RCE) under 37 CFR B7 CFR 1.103(a) or (c), and an an ecked, the correction required is c	endment, a non-fir (1.114), a supple nendment filed in	nal amendment mental response to a
Extensions of time are available under 37 CFR amendment or an amendment filed in response to		t amendment is a	non-final
Failure to timely respond to this notice will resu Abandonment of the application if the non-co filed in response to a Quayle action; or Non-entry of the amendment if the non-complamendment.	mpliant amendment is a non-fina		
Legal Instruments Examiner (LIE), if applicable	Telepho		
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office			per No. 20070511

Continuation of 5 Other:

First and foremost, the applicant is cordially thanked for the Restriction Requirement Response filed 5 March 2007. However, at least one non-compliance error has been discovered in the aforementioned response, requiring attention before examination may continue.

The response states, "In response to the Restriction Requirement mailed February 06, 2007, the applicants respectfully elect the claims of Species I with traverse for further examination purposes."

However, the response does not anywhere provide a listing of all claims readable on elected Species I.

As explained in the Restriction Requirement (mailed 6 February 2007), "Applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single species to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. The reply must also identify the claims readable on the elected species, including any claims subsequently added" (see Page 3 of the Restriction Requirement mailed 6 February 2007).

The applicant is respectfully requested to identify which pending claims are specifically drawn to elected Species I.

Moreover, although the Restriction Requirement Response filed 5 March 2007 states the election is with traverse, no arguments have been submitted to support this traversal.

As such, the applicant is respectfully requested to provide one or more arguments supporting their traversal. Absent any traversal arguments, the applicant's election would necessarily be treated as an election without traverse (due to an insufficient response).

By such reasoning, this Notice of Non-Compliance is deemed necessary and proper at this time.

Please note: The response has not been checked to the extent necessary to determine the presence of all possible non-compliance errors. If additional issues of non-compliance are discovered at the time of a subsequent response, yet another Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment will be necessitated. Applicant's cooperation is requested in correcting any other errors of which applicant may become aware.

Jeff Piziali

11 May 2007